

Langlands Duals of Compact Lie Groups

Randy S

Abstract This article provides a table of Langlands duals of compact connected simple Lie groups. References are provided for the definition of **Langlands dual** and for the entries in the table.

Contents

1	Some group theory background	2
2	The Langlands dual of a Lie group	3
3	The Langlands dual of a Lie group: examples	4
4	References	5
5	References in this series	6

1 Some group theory background

This section summarizes some group theory material that will be used either implicitly or explicitly in the following sections.

A compact connected Lie group is called **semisimple** if its center is finite.^{1,2} A Lie algebra with at least 2 dimensions is called **simple** if it has no nonzero ideals.³ A Lie group is called **simple** if its Lie algebra is simple.⁴ A Lie group is called **reductive** if its Lie algebra is a product of an abelian Lie algebra with a direct sum of simple Lie algebras.⁵ The compact Lie groups with such Lie algebras are precisely the compact connected Lie groups,⁴ so isomorphism classes of compact connected Lie groups correspond (bijectively) to isomorphism classes of complex reductive groups.⁶

Each locally compact abelian group has a **Pontryagin dual**,⁷ defined to be the group of continuous group homomorphisms from the original group to $U(1)$.⁸ The Pontryagin dual is used in the general theory of Fourier transforms. The groups $U(1)$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ are each other's Pontryagin duals, and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is its own Pontryagin dual.⁹

A Lie group G is, among other things, a smooth manifold. The **fundamental group**¹⁰ of G , denoted $\pi_1(G)$, is trivial if and only if every closed curve in G is contractible. Roughly, the number of elements of $\pi_1(G)$ is the number of closed curves in G that are not isotopic¹¹ to each other (cannot be continuously deformed into each other within G).

¹Niblo *et al* (2014), section 2.2

²Example: $SU(n)$ is semisimple, but $U(1)$ is not.

³Fulton and Harris (1991), text after exercise 9.2

⁴Article [92035](#)

⁵Fulton and Harris (1991), exercise 9.25, combined with the fact that a semisimple Lie algebra is a direct sum of simple Lie algebras (article [91563](#))

⁶Kamnitzer (2011), section 5.2

⁷*Pontryagin* is also spelled *Pontrjagin*.

⁸Ben-Zvi (2021), equation (3.34)

⁹<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Pontrjagin+dual>, examples 2.1 and 2.3

¹⁰Article [61813](#)

¹¹Section 4.5 and chapter 8 in Hirsch (1976) both define **isotopic**.

2 The Langlands dual of a Lie group

Let G be a compact connected Lie group, so G is also a reductive group.¹² Every such group has a corresponding **Langlands dual group** or **GNO dual group**,^{13,14} denoted $G^\vee$ (or ${}^L G$),^{15,16} which is again a compact connected Lie group. The group $G^\vee$ is characterized by these properties¹⁷

- The root data of G and $G^\vee$ are dual to each other.
- If G is compact and simple, then $Z(G^\vee)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\pi_1(G)$.¹⁸ More generally, $Z(G^\vee)$ is isomorphic to the **Cartier dual**^{19,20} of $\pi_1(G)$.

In particular, if G is simply connected, then $G^\vee$ has trivial center.²¹ The converse is also true, thanks to the identity²²

$$(G^\vee)^\vee = G. \quad (1)$$

In physics, one of the most important properties of $G^\vee$ is that its irreducible representations correspond to conjugacy classes of homomorphisms $U(1) \rightarrow G$.^{23,24}

¹²Section 1

¹³Debray (2021), lecture 28; Kapustin and Witten (2007), section 1

¹⁴Some non-compact Lie groups also have Langlands duals. Examples are shown in Frenkel (2009), page 1010-05; and Debray (2021), text after equation (29.1).

¹⁵The Langlands dual group is often denoted ${}^L G$ in the physics literature (example: Kapustin (2010), section 0.1). According to <https://mathoverflow.net/a/475646>, mathematicians use the notation ${}^L G$ for something else.

¹⁶Beware that the notation $G^\vee$ is also used for the *Cartier dual* and *Pontryagin dual* of an abelian group G (Ben-Zvi (2021), equations (3.34) and (3.77)).

¹⁷Ben-Zvi (2021), text above equation (11.124); Debray (2021), text after theorem 28.5 and above equation (29.1); Daenzer and Van Erp (2014), section 2.4 (for complex Lie groups)

¹⁸Kapustin and Witten (2007), text before equation (6.10) (the condition *compact* is stated in the first paragraph of section 2.1, and the condition *simple* is stated in the text after equation (2.3))

¹⁹Debray (2021), text after theorem 28.5

²⁰In the present context, *Cartier dual* may be replaced with *Pontryagin dual* (<https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/Cartier+duality>).

²¹Ben-Zvi (2021), text below equation (11.132); Debray (2021), text above equation (29.1).

²²Gukov and Witten (2006), text after equation (A.15); Debray (2017), last Remark in lecture 24 (calls $G \rightarrow G^\vee$ an *involution*)

²³Kapustin and Witten (2007), text after equation (6.9)

²⁴Section 0.1 in Kapustin (2010) uses this as the definition of $G^\vee$.

3 The Langlands dual of a Lie group: examples

The group $U(n)$ is its own Langlands dual.²⁵ This table lists the Langlands duals of the compact connected *simple* Lie groups:^{26,27,28}

root system of G	root system of $G^\vee$	G	$G^\vee$
A_n	same	$SU(km)/\mathbb{Z}_k$	$SU(km)/\mathbb{Z}_m$
B_n	C_n	$SO(2n+1)$ $\text{Spin}(2n+1)$	$\text{Sp}(n)$ $\text{Sp}(n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$
D_n	same	$SO(2n)$ $\text{Spin}(2n)$ $\text{Spin}(8k)/\mathbb{Z}'_2$ $\text{Spin}(8k)/\mathbb{Z}''_2$ $\text{Spin}(8k+4)/\mathbb{Z}'_2$	$SO(2n)$ $SO(2n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ $\text{Spin}(8k)/\mathbb{Z}'_2$ $\text{Spin}(8k)/\mathbb{Z}''_2$ $\text{Spin}(8k+4)/\mathbb{Z}''_2$
E_6	same	E_6	$E_6/\mathbb{Z}_3$
E_7	same	E_7	$E_7/\mathbb{Z}_2$
E_8, F_4, G_2	same	self-dual	

The first row includes this important case: the groups $SU(n)$ and $SU(n)/\mathbb{Z}_n$ are each other's Langlands duals.²⁵ Thanks to the relationship (1), this table includes every compact connected simple Lie group.²⁹ The B_n - C_n cases are the only ones for which the Lie algebras of G and $G^\vee$ are not isomorphic to each other.^{30,31}

Based on this list and the relationships in section 2, we can infer that if G is semisimple (no $U(1)$ factors), then the universal cover of $G^\vee$ is $(G/Z(G))^\vee$.

²⁵Kapustin and Witten (2007), table 1

²⁶Compiled from table 6.4 in Figueroa-O'Farrill (1998) and table 1 in Kapustin and Witten (2007)

²⁷Different authors use different notations for the groups $\text{Sp}(n)$. Article 92035 defines the notation used here, which agrees with Kapustin and Witten (2007).

²⁸ $G/\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the quotient of G by an $\mathbb{Z}_n$ subgroup of $Z(G)$. In the A_n row, $km = n + 1$. In the last three D_n rows, $8k = 2n$. $\mathbb{Z}'_2$ and $\mathbb{Z}''_2$ denote distinct subgroups of the center of $\text{Spin}(\cdot)$ for which the quotient is not isomorphic to $SO(\cdot)$ (article 92035). The groups denoted E_6 and E_7 are the compact 1-connected groups with those root systems.

²⁹Article 92035

³⁰Kapustin and Witten (2007), text after equation (2.17)

³¹For the A, D, E root systems, Langlands duality is a form of **T-duality** (Daenzer and Van Erp (2014)).

4 References

(Open-access items include links.)

- Ben-Zvi, 2021.** “Between electric-magnetic duality and the Langlands program” https://jacksontvandyke.com/lecture_notes.html
- Daenzer and Van Erp, 2014.** “T-duality for Langlands dual groups” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **18**: 1267–1285, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1211.0763>
- Debray, 2017.** “M392C notes: representation theory” https://adebray.github.io/lecture_notes/m392c_RT_notes.pdf
- Debray, 2021.** “M390C notes: geometric Langlands” https://adebray.github.io/lecture_notes/m390c_GL_2021_notes.pdf
- Figuroa-O’Farrill, 1998.** “Electromagnetic Duality for Children” <https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~jmf/Teaching/Lectures/EDC.pdf>
- Frenkel, 2009.** “Gauge theory and Langlands duality” <https://arxiv.org/abs/0906.2747>
- Fulton and Harris, 1991.** *Representation Theory: A First Course*. Springer
- Gukov and Witten, 2006.** “Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric Langlands program” *Current Developments in Mathematics* **2006**: 35-180, <https://intlpress.com/JDetail/1806709570892447746>
- Hirsch, 1976.** *Differential Topology*. Springer-Verlag
- Kamnitzer, 2011.** “Representation theory of compact groups and complex reductive groups, Winter 2011” <https://www.math.toronto.edu/jkamnitz/courses/repthory/repthory.pdf>

Kapustin, 2010. “Langlands Duality and Topological Field Theory” <https://www.icmat.es/seminarios/langlands/school/handouts/kapustin.pdf>

Kapustin and Witten, 2007. “Electric-Magnetic Duality And The Geometric Langlands Program” *Communications in Number Theory and Physics* **1**: 1-236, <https://link.intlpress.com/JDetail/1805800346326876162>

Niblo *et al*, 2014. “Affine Weyl groups and Langlands duality” <https://eprints.maths.manchester.ac.uk/2172/1/AffineWeyl11C.pdf>

5 References in this series

Article **61813** (<https://cphysics.org/article/61813>):
“Homotopy, Homotopy Groups, and Covering Spaces”

Article **91563** (<https://cphysics.org/article/91563>):
“Characterizing the Irreducible Representations of Compact Simple Lie Groups”

Article **92035** (<https://cphysics.org/article/92035>):
“The Topology of Lie Groups: a Collection of Results”